#### EE/CprE/SE 491 WEEKLY REPORT 08

10/30/17 - 11/03/17

Group number: 11

Project title: RFRD Phase II

Client &/Advisor: Dr. Daji Qiao and Dr. Nathan Neihart

#### Team Members/Role:

Bailey Akers - Facilitator/RFRD Tag Design/Fabrication Engineer Colin Sunderman - RFRD Tag Design/Fabrication Engineer Lyle Bishop - Principal Antenna Engineer Pengyu Qu – Antenna/Power Harvesting Engineer Nathan Mulbrook - RFRD Wireless Communications Engineer

#### o Past week accomplishments

Team Member 1: Bailey Akers Developed three tests to better understand using the washers as capacitors. Generated weekly report.

Team Member 2: Colin Sunderman Developed three tests to better understand using the washers as capacitors.

Team Member 3: Pengyu Qu Antenna calculations using Friis Equation. Presented on material

Team Member 4: Lyle Bishop Antenna calculations using Friis equation.

Team Member 5: Nathan Mulbrook

Research and presentation of using a software controller radio transmitter for testing of our design.

## o Weekly Summary

11/2 - Colin Sunderman and Bailey Akers simulated the following circuits using OrCad Capture:

- TSU10X op amp as an non-inverting amp with gain of 2

   Obtained a correct output
- TS88 comparator IC as a comparator
  - Obtained a correct output
- TSU10X op amp as an integrator
  - Obtained a correct output

- Relaxation Oscillator with TSU10X and TS88
  - $\circ$  Obtained an incorrect output

11/2 - Pengyu Qu and Lyle Bishop met to look through research papers on actual measured received power from an RF circuit.

Nathan Mulbrook throughout the week made progress with picking out a software defined radio program.

11/3 - Met with advisors Dr. Daji Qiao and Dr. Nathan Neihart.

- Bailey Akers and Colin Sunderman presented on the SPICE simulations
  - Neihart suggested that we look further into what is causing the relaxation oscillator to output incorrectly
  - For next week, have some ideas and try a couple different resistor values in the SPICE simulation
- Pengyu Qu and Lyle Bishop presented on actual measured results for received power
  - Found that one paper received ~0.45 mW @ 1meter distance.
  - This gave us great progress on the subject
  - Advisors agreed with the findings
  - Capacitance Measuring group will size op amps under the 0.45 mW power consumption limit.

| Tims week:      |   |                    |                     |
|-----------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| NAME            | Individual Contributions<br>Summary                       | Hours This<br>Week | Hours<br>Cumulative |
| Bailey Akers    | SPICE simulations. Generated weekly report.               | 4                  | 47                  |
| Colin Sunderman | SPICE simulations.  | 3                  | 41                  |
| Pengyu Qu       | Research into measured received power.                    | 5                  | 37                  |
| Lyle Bishop     | Research into measured received power.                    | 5                  | 37                  |
| Nathan Mulbrook | Research to find a software defined radio program to use. | 4                  | 36                  |

## This Week:

\*Details of weekly contributions are noted in above Weekly Summary section. o Plan for coming week Goals for next week's advisor meeting (11/10): Details also listed in Weekly Summary section.

Capacitive Sensing Circuit Design: Colin Sunderman and Bailey Akers

- Figure out issues with relaxation oscillator simulation.
- Pick out op amp with power constraints given.

Antenna Design: Pengyu Qu and Lyle Bishop

• Simulate an antenna using Momentum sofware. Communications, Tx/Rx Module: Nathan Mulbrook

• Further research into implementation software defined radio program.

## o Team Difficulties

The main difficulties were with the relaxation oscillator SPICE design. Since it wasn't outputting what we expected. Further analysis of the circuit will prove the issues.

# Grading criteria

Each weekly report is worth 10 points. Scores will be awarded as follows:

 $\bullet$  8 – 10: Progress for your project seems to be suitable. Documentation and hours reported by team members are adequate.

• 6-8: There is scope of improvement both in your report and your project progress. Can consult with instructor/TA after class for further inputs.

 $\bullet < 6:$  Please talk to instructors/TA after class hours about any difficulties that you/your team is facing.